CASE SUMMARY APPLICATION TYPE: TTCDA BUILDING PERMIT					
File Number:	12-B-24-TOB	<b>Related File Number:</b>			
Application Filed:	10/28/2024	Date of Revision:			
Applicant:	CHRIS SHARP URBA	AN ENGINEERING			
PROPERTY INFO	ORMATION				
General Location:		Checkerboard Ln, west side of Simm	ions Rd		
Other Parcel Info.:					
Tax ID Number:	131 06805		Jurisdiction: County		
Size of Tract:	4.41 acres				
Accessibility:		Checkerboard Lane, a local street wi	ith a 25-ft pavement width within a 50-ft right-of-way.		
GENERAL LAND	USE INFORMATI	ION			
Existing Land Use:	Commercial				
Surrounding Land U	se:				
Proposed Use:	N/A		Density:		
Planning Sector:		Plan Designation: BP (Bu	usiness Park)		
Growth Policy Plan:					
Neighborhood Conte	ext:				
ADDRESS/RIGH	T-OF-WAY INFOR	MATION (where applicable)			
Street:	10625 Checke	erboard Ln.			
Location:					
Proposed Street Na	ne:				
Department-Utility R	eport:				
Reason:					
ZONING INFORM	ATION (where ap	oplicable)			
Current Zoning:		and Manufacturing), TO (Technology	y Overlay)		
Former Zoning:					
Requested Zoning:	N/A				
Previous Requests:					
Extension of Zone:					
History of Zoning:					
PLAN INFORMA	TION (where appli	icable)			

**Current Plan Category:** 

**Requested Plan Category:** 

## WAIVERS AND VARIANCES REQUESTED

Variances Requested:

Reduce the front setback when parking is in the front yard from 60 ft to 56.3 ft for Building A (1.4.1).
 Reduce the parking lot setback from 20 ft to 16.4 ft for Building A (Guidelines 1.7.9 and 3.1.8).
 Reduce the parking lot setback from 20 ft to 9 ft for Building B (Guidelines 1.7.9 and 3.1.8).

## OTHER INFORMATION (where applicable)

Other Bus./Ord. Amend.:

Other Bus./Ord. Amend			
	TTCDA ACTION AND DISPOSITION		
Planner In Charge:	Kelsey Bousquet		
Staff Recomm. (Abbr.):			
Staff Recomm. (Full):	Staff recommends the following actions on the required waivers from the Design Guidelines:		
	<ol> <li>Approve the waiver to reduce the front setback when parking in the front yard from 60 ft to 56.3 ft to avoid encroaching on the drainage easement and because sufficient space remains for trees and shrubbery between the parking lot and the street. Furthermore, the request does not significantly deviate from the design standards.</li> <li>Approve the waiver to reduce the parking lot setback for Building A from 20 ft to 16.4 ft to avoid encroaching on the drainage easement.</li> <li>Approve the waiver to reduce the parking lot setback from 20 ft to 9 ft for Building B to avoid encroaching on the drainage easement.</li> </ol>		
	Staff recommends approval of this request for a Certificate of Appropriateness for a building permit, subject to three conditions:		
	<ol> <li>Meeting all applicable requirements of the Knox County Department of Engineering and Public Works.</li> </ol>		
	<ul> <li>2) Meeting all applicable requirements of the Knox County Zoning Ordinance.</li> <li>3) Obtaining TTCDA approval of signage in a separate application if signage is desired in the future.</li> </ul>		
Comments:	The applicant is requesting approval for two office warehouse buildings on a shared lot with an existing office warehouse building. Building A would be 11,700 sq ft, and Building B would be 11,600 sq ft, totaling 23,300 of new building area on this property. The total building square footage for all three buildings would be 35,420 sq ft.		
	PURSUANT TO ARTICLE V, SECTION 2 OF THE TTCDA ADMINISTRATIVE RULES AND PROCEDURES, THE DECISION OF THE TTCDA TO APPROVE REQUESTS FOR BUILDING PERMIT CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS MUST BE BASED ON THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA:		
	<ul> <li>A. CONFORMITY OF THE PROPOSAL WITH THE TENNESSEE TECHNOLOGY CORRIDOR DESIGN GUIDELINES.</li> <li>1) The property has driveway access from Checkerboard Lane. Building A is positioned at an angle relative to the right-of-way near the property's western side, and Building B is oriented parallel to</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Checkerboard Lane.</li> <li>2) There are two loading docks. The loading dock at Building A is oriented toward the driveway entrance, while the loading dock at Building B faces Building A and runs parallel to the right-of-way. Four evergreen trees will be planted in the front yard of Building A to help screen the loading dock from the street. The retaining wall and office building will shield the loading dock at Building B.</li> <li>3) With the addition of the two proposed buildings, the subject property is still in compliance with the Ground Area Coverage (GAC), Floor Area Ratio (FAR), and Impervious Area Ratio (IAR) standards per Section 1.3. The subject property is allowed a GAC of 25%, FAR of 30%, and IAR of 70%. The proposed development has an 18.4% GAC, 18.4% FAR, and 45.6% IAR.</li> <li>4) The TTCDA Guidelines require a minimum of 12 parking spaces and a maximum of 18 spaces per building. The applicant is proposing 12 spaces for Building A and 13 spaces for Building B, which meet the minimum parking requirements.</li> <li>5) Both buildings feature long, unbroken facades clad in metal panels. While long, unbroken facades and use of metal panels and masonry blocks are allowed, they are generally discouraged. Foundation planting can soften the facade and reduce the visual impact of the materials used. The landscape plans include Spartan Junipers and Dwarf Crepe Myrtles in the landscape bedding along the building foundation, which is recommended for exposed walls to help soften the long, unbroken facade. Other materials include a brick veneer on the exterior walls with a stone veneer trim along the foundation at the entrance to the office building, complemented by an aluminum canopy.</li> <li>6) The applicant is requesting a waiver from Guideline 1.4.1 to reduce the 60-ft front building setback</li> </ul>		

Legislative Body:					
	LEGIS	SLATIVE ACTION AND	DISPOSITION		
	ate of Withdrawal: Withdrawn prior to publication?: Action Appealed?:				
Date of Withdrawal:			-		
Date of Approval:	12/9/2024	Date of Denial:	Postponements:		
Summary of Action:		pplicable requirements of the Kn CDA approval of signage in a se	nox County Zoning Ordinance. eparate application if signage is desired in the future.		
	conditions: <ol> <li>Meeting all applicable requirements of the Knox County Department of Engineering and Public</li> </ol>				
Approval of this request for a Certificate of Appropriateness for a building permit, subject to the					
encroaching on the drainage easement. 3) Approve the waiver to reduce the parking lot setback from 20 ft to 9 ft for Building B to avoid encroaching on the drainage easement.					
shrubbery between the parking lot and the street. Furthermore, the request does not sign deviate from the design standards. 2) Approve the waiver to reduce the parking lot setback for Building A from 20 ft to 16.4 ft					
	avoid encroachi	ing on the drainage easement ar	ck when parking in the front yard from 60 ft to 56.3 ft to ind because sufficient space remains for trees and	)	
Details of Action:		equired waivers from the Desigr	-		
Action:	Approved		Meeting Date: 12/9/2024		
	DEPARTMENT 1) Access would and Public Wor 2) Knox County	S, AS APPROPRIATE. d be off of Checkerboard Lane a ks standards. Engineering's stormwater divisio	and meets the Knox County Department of Engineering ion had no comments on the plans. A stormwater emed sufficient to serve the proposed development.	J	
	D. CONSISTENCY OF THE PROPOSAL WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF KNOX COUNTY				
	THE COMMUNITY AS A WHOLE. 1) The subject property is surrounded by similar warehouse and office uses. The existing vegetation on the north side of the subject property is dense and is to be preserved, providing a visual buffer.				
	C. EFFECT OF THE PROPOSAL ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, SURROUNDING PROPERTY AND				
	<ul> <li>KNOX COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE, AS APPROPRIATE.</li> <li>1) The 4.4-acre site is zoned CB (Business and Manufacturing) and TO (Technology Overlay). Office warehouses are a permitted use (by right) in the CB zone.</li> <li>2) The applicant is not subdividing, and the zone allows more than one primary use of a lot.</li> </ul>				
	B. CONFORMI	TY OF THE PROPOSAL WITH T	THE KNOXVILLE-KNOX COUNTY MINIMUM THE KNOXVILLE ZONING ORDINANCE, OR THE		
	limit disturbance 7) The applican setback from 20 was requested of proposed develor supports the wat the existing swat shrubbery betwo including plantir 8) No lighting is 9) No signage is	e near the drainage easement. t is requesting a waiver from Gui off to 16.4 ft for Building A and a due to the existing swale, storm opment, which precludes reposit aiver to reduce the parking lot se ale and storm drain system and b een the parking lot and the stree ng around 50% of the building ar proposed for this development.	uidelines 1.7.9 and 3.1.8 to reduce the parking lot a reduction from 20 ft to 9 ft for Building B. The waiver drain system, and drainage easement behind the itioning the buildings farther back on the site. Planning etbacks for Buildings A and B to prevent encroaching of because sufficient space remains for trees and et. All other landscape guidelines have been met, nd landscaping at the entrances and parking lots.	n	
	that is required when parking is in the front of the yard to 56.3 ft for Building A. The waiver was requested due to the positioning of the building to avoid encroaching into the drainage easement. Furthermore, moving the building back from the right-of-way would require waivers from the side and rear setback requirements. Planning supports the requested waiver as the minimal reduction would				

Date of Legislative Action:

Ordinance Number:

Date of Legislative Action, Second Reading:

Other Ordinance Number References:

Disposition of Case:	Disposition of Case, Second Reading:
If "Other":	If "Other":
Amendments:	Amendments:
Date of Legislative Appeal:	Effective Date of Ordinance: